# Jayrualed 12/38 Walled SEC 970409 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 10**

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

Reply To Attn Of:

ECO-088

**DEC 30 1997** 

Dick Cosgriffe, Area Manager Prineville BLM District Manager Post Office Box 550 Prineville, OR 97754

Dear Mr. Cosgriffe:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Northeast Oregon Assembled Land Exchange (NOALE). Our review was conducted pursuant to our responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, which directs the EPA to review and comment on all federal EIS's.

We are rating this draft EC-2 (Environmental Concerns - Insufficient Information). Our concerns are based on potential water quality and riparian habitat impacts, loss of Columbia Basin shrub-steppe habitat, and loss of old growth forest habitat. Additional information is requested to describe the potential impacts to riparian functions and to describe and evaluate in more detail the shrub steppe habitat and old growth habitat properties and functions that could be potentially lost.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on this draft EIS. An explanation of the EPA rating system for draft EIS's is enclosed for your reference. This rating and a summary of EPA's comments will be published in the Federal Register. If you have any questions about our comments, please contact Judith Leckrone in our Geographic Unit at 206-553-6911.

Sincerely,

ick Parkin, Manager

eographic Implementation Unit

Enclosures

Carl Scheeler, CTUIR

Rick Kepler, ODEQ



### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGIGN TO

1200 Shift Avenue Seattle, Weshington 98101

ov digas

DEC 3 0 1937

Dick Computite, Arms Manager Princellie Sim District Manager Post Office Box 550

\$12 Treat No. 12 Treat

The Sovironmental Explection Agency (SPA) has reviewed the draft sovironmental Impact Statement (HTS) for the Northeast Oregon Assembled Land Exchange (MOALS) Our review was conducted parament to our responsibilities under the National Sevironmental Policy Act (HSPA) and Section 309 of the Clear Air Act, which directs the SPA to review and commant on all federal SIS:

We are raiting this draft MC-2 (Environmental Concerns Insulficient Information). Our concerns are mased on potential water quality, and ripertan bubitst impacts, loss of Golumbia Basin mbrub- steppe habitst and loss of old growth forest habitst. Additional information is requested to describe the potential impacts to ripertan functions and requested to describe the potential impacts the simule steppe habitst and to describe and evaluate in more detail the simule steppe habitst and old growth habitst properties and functions that could be potentially old growth habitst properties and functions that could be potentially out

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on this draft His.

An explanation of the Rea rating system for draft His wise as anothered for your reference. This rating and a summary on Man's comments will be published in the Endered Register. It you have any questions about our comments, please contact Judith Deckrone in our deckraphic Unit at and -553-5511.

Viensonie

Stek Parkto, Manager

sexpeciped.

bo: Carl Schoeler, CTUIR

respect to to the barrier of

### U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Review Comments

### U.S. Department of Interior Bureau Of Land Management (BLM)

## The Northeast Oregon Assembled Land Exchange (NOALE) and Draft Environmental Impact Statement

December, 1997

### Columbia Basin Shrub Steppe Habitat

Alternative One (the Preferred Action) proposes to dispose of an estimated total of 5,193 acres of Columbia Basin shrub steppe habitat; over 2000 acres of which comprises the area known as Juniper Canyon in the Baker Resource Area. It has come to our attention that many other agencies, organizations, and individuals, including the Confederated Tribes the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), have requested that the BLM not dispose of Juniper Canyon and change its tenure to private ownership. All of the concerned entities are represented by environmental specialists knowledgeable about Columbia Basin shrub-steppe habitat and all have asserted that Juniper Canyon is ecologically valuable and should be kept in federal management.

In addition, a perennial stream runs through Juniper Canyon which has been identified by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality as a stream segment of concern for dissolved oxygen, flow modification and temperature and is under consideration for listing as a Clean Water Act Section 303(d) water. (Waters listed by the state pursuant to CWA Section 303(d) are required to have Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) developed to bring the water into compliance with state water quality standards.) The EIS does not provide enough information to determine how the change of tenure to private ownership would affect the water quality in Juniper Canyon.

In light of the almost unanimous objections to the disposal of Juniper Canyon by state and tribal agencies as well as several environmental organizations, the EIS is relatively silent on the specific issues and values of Juniper Canyon. The final EIS should include a separate and easily identifiable discussion about Juniper Canyon that includes, but is not necessarily limited to the following information:

- a habitat and species analysis
- an analysis of current water quality and riparian quality
- a discussion of the cultural and ecological values of the canyon
- a detailed description of existing grazing and agricultural management practices in and around the canyon by the adjoining landowner or lessee
- reasonable foreseeable consequences to ecological values and functions, especially water quality and habitat, of the preferred alternative
- how negative ecological effects of the preferred alternative would be mitigated either on-site or by the acquisition of shrub steppe lands of equal or greater value

### **Old Growth Forest Habitat**

The Final EIS needs to clarify the difference between "Forest lands Containing Large Tree Component" and 'Old Growth Forest Habitat." It is unclear in the DEIS how they differ and what habitat values are associated with lands that have a large tree component and whether they really are old growth forests but have been "redefined" as large tree component to avoid controversy.

While the acquisition by BLM of larger blocks of forest land might eventually lead to large blocks of old growth habitat, we are concerned about the cumulative impacts of the loss of existing old growth and lands with "large tree components." As pointed out on page 110 of the DEIS, only 10-15% of the old growth forests remain in eastern Oregon and "The loss of 1,127 acres in Phase 1 would add to the cumulative reduction in old-growth forests in eastern Oregon." Asserting that the BLM administers only a small percentage of old growth as compared to the Forest Service does not relieve BLM of its responsibility to administer and manage its old growth habitat.

To better assess the benefits of proposed old growth habitat proposed for acquisition, the Final EIS should include the average size of the parcels that include old growth habitats and large tree component.

#### Water Quality

The DEIS lacks adequate analysis of possible water quality impacts from the various alternatives. Water quality impacts, such as increased pesticide and fertilizer runoff into streams due to potential conversion to agricultural uses, should be discussed where applicable. This analysis should augment the evaluation of fisheries impacts and should discuss waters, if applicable, that have been listed by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality on their CWA Section 303(d) list of impaired waters as well as waters identified as stream segments of concern. Contact Rick Kepler at Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (503-229-6804) for more information about these waters.

fightle discussion about Juniper Canyon that includes, but is not penesserily timited to

- a discussion of the cultural and ecological values of the esayon - a detailed description of existing precipe and agricultural means